

46 Am. Jur. 2d Judges § 41

American Jurisprudence, Second Edition | February 2022 Update

Judges

Glenda K. Harnad, J.D.; and Kristina E. Music Biro, J.D., of the staff of the National Legal Research Group, Inc.

V. Powers and Duties

C. Reconsidering Rulings by Another Judge

§ 41. Administrative or discretionary orders of court

[Topic Summary](#) | [Correlation Table](#) | [References](#)

West's Key Number Digest

West's Key Number Digest, [Judges](#)  24, 32

Despite the general rule that one judge will not review the rulings of another in the same court, this rule was intended to apply to orders and rulings of the court which are purely judicial in their character, that is to say, rulings upon questions of law and fact, upon which the orderly procedure of the case depends; the rule does not apply to purely administrative orders of the court.¹ However, a judge should not ordinarily interfere with or depart from an interlocutory order or ruling of another judge that involved a large element of judicial discretion,² unless there has been a change of circumstances.³

© 2022 Thomson Reuters. 33-34B © 2022 Thomson Reuters/RIA. No Claim to Orig. U.S. Govt. Works. All rights reserved.

Footnotes

- 1 In re Insull Utility Investments, 74 F.2d 510 (C.C.A. 7th Cir. 1935); Mendez ex rel. Bennett v. Sharpe, 93 Misc. 2d 776, 403 N.Y.S.2d 868 (Sup 1978).
- 2 Thomas v. Johnson Controls, Inc., 344 Ill. App. 3d 1026, 279 Ill. Dec. 798, 801 N.E.2d 90 (1st Dist. 2003).
- 3 Iverson v. TM One, Inc., 92 N.C. App. 161, 374 S.E.2d 160 (1988).

End of Document

© 2022 Thomson Reuters. No claim to original U.S. Government Works.